WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ADDED.	AF BETI			TDIAI
UKDER (	UF DE I E	IN I IUN	PENDING	IRIAL

٧.

	Log	<u>ıan Joa</u>	el Cortez-Cruz	Case Number:	15-179MJ-002
			Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 are established: (Check one or both,		submitted to the Court. I conclude
×	-		onvincing evidence the defendant is this case.	s a danger to the community and	require the detention of the defendant
×		•	rance of the evidence the defendar this case.	nt is a serious flight risk and requ	ire the detention of the defendant
			PART I	- FINDINGS OF FACT	
	(1)		.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The defendar have been a federal offense if a cir	•	al offense)(state or local offense that jurisdiction had existed) that is
			a crime of violence as defined in	18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).	
			an offense for which the maximum	m sentence is life imprisonment o	or death.
			an offense for which a maximum	term of imprisonment of ten year	rs or more is prescribed in
			a felony that was committed after described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(	the defendant had been convict 1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or	ed of two or more prior federal offenses r local offenses.
			any felony that involves a minor v device (as those terms are define to register under 18 U.S.C. §225	ed in section 921), or any other d	sion or use of a firearm or destructive angerous weapon, or involves a failure
	(2)	18 U.S pendin	.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense d g trial for a federal, state or local o	escribed in finding 1 was commit ffense.	ted while the defendant was on release
	(3)	18 U.S convict	.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of notion)(release of the defendant from	t more than five years has elapse imprisonment) for the offense de	ed since the (date of escribed in finding 1.
	(4)	will rea	gs Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a sonably assure the safety of (an)outted this presumption.	rebuttable presumption that no c ther person(s) and the communit	ondition or combination of conditions y. I further find that the defendant has
			Alte	ernative Findings	
	(1)	18 U.S	.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable	cause to believe that the defenda	ant has committed an offense
			for which a maximum term of imp	risonment of ten years or more is	s prescribed in1
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a)	, or 2332b.	
			under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, for v prescribed.	vhich a maximum term of imprisc	onment of 20 years or more is
			an offense involving a minor victi	m under section	2
	(2)	The de	fendant has not rebutted the presuons will reasonably assure the app	imption established by finding 1 tearance of the defendant as requ	that no condition or combination of uired and the safety of the community.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $<sup>{}^{2}\</sup>text{Insert as applicable 18 U.S.C. }\S 1201, 1591, 2241-42, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3, 2252(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.$ 

# 

Altern		

e the appearance of the defendant as required.  Indition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community. Is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or date a prospective witness or juror).  PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)  that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincince as to danger that:  that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:  efendant is not a citizen of the United States.  efendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.
PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)  that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincinnce as to danger that:  that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:  efendant is not a citizen of the United States.
PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)  that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincinnce as to danger that:  that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:  efendant is not a citizen of the United States.
(Check one or both, as applicable.)  that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing as to danger that:  that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:  efendant is not a citizen of the United States.
that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:  efendant is not a citizen of the United States.
efendant is not a citizen of the United States.
efendant is not a citizen of the United States.
efendant is not a citizen of the United States.
efendant is not a citizen of the United States.
efendant is not a citizen of the United States.
efendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.
ased herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs cement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.
efendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
efendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably ated to assure his/her future appearance.
efendant has a prior criminal history.
efendant lives and works in Mexico.
efendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has antial family ties to Mexico.
is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
efendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
efendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

## Case 2:15-mj-00179-MHB Document 8 Filed 04/17/15 Page 3 of 3

×	In addition:
	The defendant submitted the issue of detention.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

### PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

### PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 16<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2015.

Michelle H. Burns
United States Magistrate Judge